Interview Summary	10/580,588	SASHIDA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	NIZAL S. CHANDRAKUMAR	1625	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTC	personnel):		
(1) <u>NIZAL S. CHANDRAKUMAR</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>Ann M. Cannoni</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: <u>19 March 2009</u> .			
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant	2) applicant's representative	e]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)∐ No.		
Claim(s) discussed:			
Identification of prior art discussed:			
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached.	g) was not reached. h) № N	N/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the generareached, or any other comments: <u>Attorney informed that the date March 5, 2004</u> .			
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amen allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	copy of the amendments that v		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE NTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to th GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGEF INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INFILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTIREQuirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.	e last Office action has already R OF ONE MONTH OR THIRT TERVIEW SUMMARY FORM,	/ been filed, APP Y DAYS FROM T WHICHEVER IS	LICANT IS THIS LATER, TO
NC/			

Application No.

Applicant(s)